arXiv:1704.01750v2 [hep-th] 10 Apr 2017

Antimatter and the second law of thermodynamics

Gábor Etesi

Department of Geometry, Mathematical Institute, Faculty of Science,

Budapest University of Technology and Economics,

Egry J. u. 1, H ép., H-1111 Budapest, Hungary *

April 12, 2017

Abstract

In this letter we introduce a proposal that the second law of thermodynamics holds true for a closed physical system consisting of pure antimatter in the thermodynamical limit, but in a reversed form. We give two plausible arguments in favour to this proposal: one is based on the *CPT* theorem of relativistic quantum field theories while the other one refers to Noether's theorem of theoretical physics. However in our understanding the ultimate validity or invalidity of this idea can be decided only by future physical experiments.

As a consequence of the proposal we argue that the dynamical evolution of pure macroscopic antimatter systems can be very different from that of ordinary matter systems in the sense that sufficiently massive antimatter systems could have stronger tendency to form black holes during time evolution than their ordinary counterparts. Taking into account the various "no-hair" theorems in black hole physics as well, as a result, antimatter could tracelessly disappear behind black hole event horizons faster in time than ordinary matter. The observed asymmetry of matter and antimatter could then be explained even if their presence in the Universe was symmetric in the beginning.

PACS numbers: 01.55.+b; 03.75.Hh; 04.70.-s; 05.70.-a
Keywords: *Second law; Black holes; Matter-antimatter asymmetry*

1 The proposal and its consequence

The idea of an antiparticle had quite unexpectedly dropped out from the theoretical efforts to reconcile the basic principles of special relativity and quantum mechanics; shortly thereafter their individual existence was verified using cosmic ray detectors, nuclear reactors and high energy particle colliders. However *no* physical experiment or even any kind of human experience in the broadest sense exists so far which could provide some phenomenological insight into the *macroscopic* i.e., *thermodynamical* properties of pure antimatter built up from the bound states of these antiparticles. Even assuming that the basic principles of (classical or quantum) statistical mechanics continue to hold for physical systems consisting of pure antimatter—and confessing that the derivation of the second law of thermodynamics from these principles is yet problematic—the thermodynamical behaviour of such alien macroscopic physical systems is, rigorously speaking, still unknown to us. Therefore apparently we are not in

*e-mail: etesi@math.bme.hu

contradiction with any element of our contemporary description of physical reality if we make the following counterintuitive

Proposal. *Let $\mathscr{S}_{\text{antimatter}}$ be a closed physical system consisting of pure antimatter (in the thermodynamical limit). Then the entropy of this system never increases in time i.e., $\Delta S(\mathscr{S}_{\text{antimatter}}) \leqq 0$.*

Because challenging the **Proposal** experimentally or theoretically is not straightforward, we would like to rather offer here two plausible arguments for its validity. However of course we acknowledge that none of them can be considered as a physical (or even not to mention, a rigorous mathematical) proof of the **Proposal**. Its ultimate validity or invalidity can be decided only with future physical experiments designed to unfold the dynamics of large antimatter systems (in this context it is worth revisiting the already observed asymmetry between the processes $K^0 \to \overline{K}^0$ and $\overline{K}^0 \to K^0$ as well, cf. [4]).

An argument based on the CPT theorem of relativistic quantum field theories. In light of our accurate experimental evidences, we have no reason to doubt on the validity of the basic rules of relativistic quantum field theory when applied to both matter and antimatter. One of the most fundamental results of the relativistic quantum field theoretic description of physical reality is the *CPT* theorem which asserts that the triple action of time direction reversal (T), spatial reflection (P) and charge conjugation (C), when applied to a physical system, represents a symmetry of it (cf. e.g. [6, Chapter I.5.8]). Consider an ordinary closed physical system $\mathscr{S}_{\text{matter}}$ consisting of pure (normal) matter in the thermodynamical limit, evolving forward in time. Therefore, as a *theoretical consequence*, the *CPT* theorem about $\mathscr{S}_{\text{matter}}$ tells us that

$$CPT(\mathscr{S}_{\text{matter}}) = \mathscr{S}_{\text{matter}} \ .$$

Another *phenomenological fact* about $\mathscr{S}_{\text{matter}}$ is the validity of the second law of thermodynamics which states that

$$\Delta S(\mathscr{S}_{\text{matter}}) \geqq 0$$

i.e., the entropy of a closed physical system consisting of pure ordinary matter never decreases. Putting together these we get

$$\Delta S(CPT(\mathscr{S}_{\text{matter}})) \geqq 0 \ .$$

However, accepting that the parity transformation P is a symmetry of a physical system in the *thermodynamical limit*, the *CPT* transformation converts a closed physical system of matter evolving forward in time into a closed physical system containing antimatter evolving backward in time i.e.,

$$CPT(\mathscr{S}_{\text{matter}}) = \mathscr{S}_{\text{antimatter in reversed time}} \ .$$

Therefore the last inequality gives

$$\Delta S(\mathscr{S}_{\text{antimatter in reversed time}}) \geqq 0$$

i.e., the entropy of an antimatter system never decreases in reversed time hence switching back to ordinary time we come up with

$$\Delta S(\mathscr{S}_{\text{antimatter}}) \leqq 0$$

leading to the **Proposal**.

Another argument based on Noether's theorem of theoretical physics. Noether's theorem roughly says that to every global symmetry of a closed physical system a conserved quantity can be associated (cf. e.g. [6, Chapter I.7.3]). A closed physical system in the thermodynamical limit—i.e., when a given phenomenological macrostate is realized by a huge number of theoretical microstates—possesses a sort of permutation symmetry, namely the transitions between the individual microstates which realize the

same macrostate. One may then raise the question that, in the spirit of Noether's theorem, what sort of conserved quantity should belong to this "permutation symmetry" of a closed physical system in the thermodynamical limit? The expected natural answer is that this quantity should be the *entropy*, or equivalently, the *information content* of the macroscopic physical system. Accepting this naive argumentation it follows as a *theoretical consequence* from it that the entropy of a closed and truely complete physical system containing *both* matter and antimatter should be constant in time i.e.,

$$\Delta S(\mathscr{S}_{\text{matter + antimatter + radiation}}) = 0 \ .$$

We added an appropriately defined radiation term $\mathscr{S}_{\text{radiation}}$ in order to take into account the recombination effects which certainly take place in a closed physical system with mixed matter content. The additional *phenomenological fact* about $\mathscr{S}_{\text{matter + radiation}}$ is again the validity of the (generalized) second law of thermodynamics in the form

$$\Delta S(\mathscr{S}_{\text{matter + radiation}}) \geqq 0 \ .$$

Comparing the last two expressions and referring to the (sub)additivity of the entropy we conclude that

$$\Delta S(\mathscr{S}_{\text{antimatter}}) \leqq 0$$

ending up with the **Proposal** again. We emphasize that in both arguments the validity of the second law as a phenomenological fact about macroscopic matter systems played a crucial role.

To close we would like to mention one consequence of the **Proposal**. Consider a closed macroscopic physical system $\mathscr{S}_{\text{antimatter}}$ built up from pure antimatter only i.e., when it is not disturbed by recombination effects of very short characteristic time. Accepting the **Proposal** for a moment, it says that $\mathscr{S}_{\text{antimatter}}$ tends to evolve into more-and-more ordered states in time. Assuming that macroscopic antimatter systems satisfy the same equation of state (expressing a phenomenological relation between their energy, temperature, pressure, volume, etc.) as the corresponding macroscopic ordinary matter systems, this evolution into more-and-more ordered states could imply their stronger tendency for spatial contraction. More precisely, in sharp contrast to an ordinary matter system, the structural tendency of $\mathscr{S}_{\text{antimatter}}$ for spatial contraction in its own gravitational field could be *enhanced* by the functional tendency of $\mathscr{S}_{\text{antimatter}}$ for spatial contraction through its reversed thermodynamics.[1] Therefore, as a result, one would expect that sufficiently massive macroscopic antimatter systems are more capable to form black holes during the course of their dynamical evolution than ordinary macroscopic matter systems. Consequently, in light of the various uniqueness ("no-hair") theorems of black hole physics (cf. e.g. [3]; and notice these theorems are particularly strong in *four dimensions*) pure macroscopic antimatter systems could tracelessly disappear behind black hole event horizons faster in time than the ordinary ones. For clarity we remark that this process is not in contradiction with Hawking's area theorem (cf. e.g. [1, 2]) because the fall of antimatter into a black hole, whatever weird its dynamical behaviour is, continues to transport further mass, electric charge and angular momentum into the black hole hence continues to increase the area of the instantaneous event horizon of e.g. the Kerr–Newman black hole. Of course all of these rough qualitative considerations might be invalidated by analyzing the highly complex details of time evolution of realistic physical systems; however this analysis is beyond the limits of this short note.

[1] It is illustrive to regard the structural and functional characters as sort of spatial and temporal projections, respectively, of a common abstract "character" of a physical system. In this language we can say that physical systems possess an abstract "contraction tendency" whose structural and functional manifestations are the gravity and the thermodynamical phenomena, respectively (cf. Verlinde's idea of entropic gravity [5]) and they attenuate each other in the case of ordinary matter systems while enhance each other in the case of antimatter systems.

Nevertheless our speculations might shed a light onto the origin of the observed matter-antimatter asymmetry in the current Universe, even if matter and antimatter was produced in symmetric amounts in the Big Bang. Indeed, if we assume that the initial matter-antimatter distribution in the Universe contained small spatial inhomogeneities (caused e.g. by thermal fluctuations visible in current high-resolution CMB data) then the undisturbed pure antimaterial spatial regions could collapse into black holes faster than the pure material ones. This asymmetric mechanism together with the symmetric recombination effects could be responsible for the deficit of antimatter as well as the rapid galaxy formation in the observed Universe.

References

[1] Bardeen, J.M., Carter, B., Hawking, S.W.: *The four laws of black hole mechanics*, Comm. Math. Phys. **31**, 161-170 (1973);

[2] Hawking, S.W.: *Gravitational radiation from colliding black holes*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **26**, 1344-1346 (1971);

[3] Heusler, M.: *Black hole uniqueness theorems*, Cambridge Lecture Notes Phys. **6**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge (1996);

[4] Kabir, P.K.: *Observed asymmetry in* $\overline{p}p \to \pi^+K^-K^0/\pi^-K^+\overline{K}^0$ *and relation to reciprocity*, Phys. Lett. **B59**, 335-340 (1999);

[5] Verlinde, E.P.: *On the origin of gravity and the laws of Newton*, Journ. High Energy Phys. **04**, 1104:029 (2011);

[6] Weinberg, S.: *The quantum theory of fields I-III*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge (1995, 1996, 2000).